## Soviets Seen Strongly Against Arms Parley

Washington Post Staff Wilter By Michael Getler

op officials in Moscow, report that the Kremlin appears to have decided not to resume suspended nuclear stration demonstrates with "clear American experts on defense and Soviet affairs, who have just re-turned from informal meetings with arms talks until the Reagan adminleeds" that it is serious about reachng agreements.

whose various members have been They [the Soviets] don't want to talk One member of the so-called Dartmouth Group," a private group meeting with Soviet officials annuilly for some 20 years, said he had 'never seen the atmosphere so bad. about any kind of arms control."

"They were very negative, very rigid and showed no interest in even probing for possible openings," added another member.

Another said he was surprised by he Soviet rigidity because it came ust a month or so after some relaively moderate public remarks by ly less strident comments to two vis-Chernenko and after some apparentthe new Soviet leader Konstantin U ting U.S. senators earlier month.

ive Soviet attitude at the five-day has decided against any quick arms meeting last week, several members said, that led to the feeling that Moscow now has completed its assessment of the situation, since Chernenko took office Feb. 13, and It was the overwhelmingly negacontrol deals which might help Reagan get reelected.

Although the American visitors for the administration, they are a are private citizens and do not speak

former chairman of the Joint Chiefs high-powered group that includes retired Lt. Gen. Brent Scowcroft, who headed President Reagan's commission on U.S. strategic nuclear forces; retired Gen. David C. Jones,

## Krémlin Is Seen Opposed to Arms Talk of Staff, and two leading U.S. ex-See ARMS, A20, Col. 1

ARMS, From Al

perts on the Soviet Union, Arnold Horelick of the Rand Corp. and William Hyland of the Council on Foreign Relations.

the center for science and international affairs Leading the group was Paul Doty, director of at Harvard. Their Soviet counterparts included Georgi Et. Gen. Viktor P. Starodubov, a member of the Soviet general staff; A.A. Obukov, deputy chief of the Soviet delegation to the strategic arms reduction talks (START), and Valentin Arbatov, senior Kremlin adviser on U.S. affairs; M. Falin, a former ambassador to West GerThe Soviet views have been relayed to the gan's comments to a French magazine on Wednesday that "some of the rhetoric coming out of Moscow is less than encouraging. Nonetheless," Reagan added, "I remain hopeful." White House and may account in part for Rea-

Sources close to the Dartmouth group stressed that there is no way to be sure that the Soviets had made an official decision not to gotiations on long-range missiles and bombers known as START and the INF talks dealing return to either of the suspended Geneva newith medium-range missiles in Europe.

Nonetheless, they said, "now all the signs are sharply negative" and that their assessment is shared by the U.S. ambassador to Moscow, Arthur Hartman.

They also said they did not know what, if anything, was going on in so-called "back chanhel" private communications between officials.

Andrei A. Gromyko and between Secretary of State George P. Shultz and Soviet Ambassador day" show, there are no back-channel talks There have been a number of meetings between Hartman and Soviet Foreign Minister Anatoliy F. Dobrymin. But aside from these, Hartman said yesterday on the NBC-TV "To-

meeting about 10 days ago was "an hour and a Officials said that a Hartman-Gromyko half of unrelieved vitriol" paralleling what the Dartmouth group members heard.

cruise missiles in Europe this winter despite U.S. sources said the Soviets appear both of the United States and the NATO allies to go strong protests by Moscow and European peace stung and extremely defensive over the ability ahead with deployment of Pershing II and movements.

the United States is seeking a one-two punch to The Soviets, who walked out of both talks after deployments began, told their visitors that the Pershings are aimed at knocking out their military command posts and that Reagan's new emphasis on anti-missile defense suggests that neutralize Soviet missile forces.

strategic balance by deploying the new missiles Although Moscow has fielded 378 new SS20 missiles aimed at Europe and Asia, the Soviets charged that Washington was changing the They claimed this was a violation of the unratified 1979 SALT II strategic arms limitation in Europe which could reach Soviet territory. agreement that both sides pledged to uphold.

The Soviets reportedly said that Washing only engaged in INF talks to cover the dep on ment and that there was no point in fur or INF talks until the deployment was reverse to Sources said the Soviets rejected inquirie or

Approved

cources said the Soviets rejected inquirie 7 the visitors about whether there would be 00-newed interest in Moscow in the so-called "160 in the woods" formula worked out informall 1982 by the U.S. and Soviet chief INF negloators. That formula later was officially reje 0.1 Sources said the Soviets rejected inquirie

The Soviets also reportedly rejected inquents about merging the INF and START talks be indicated it was unreasonable to resume the START negotiations without settling the desking and cruise missile problem. The Sover also showed no interest in a congression of backed "build-down" scheme for reducing the isting weapons, calling it a coverup permit to introduction of more modern weapons.

"We got stonewalled on all of them," Of group member said. The Soviets, another mober said, are clearly in a "hold" on arms cor to and are letting this country know. by both sides.
The Soviets also reportedly rejected inqu

and are letting this country know.

Despite recent conciliatory speeches by 10-gan, the predominant view of visitors is that Oe Soviets remain deeply distrustful of the FNident and view his remarks as meant for doi No tic consumption.

Aside from reversing the new missile deploywould show good faith: ratification of the 1974 underground nuclear threshold test ban treaty ment, the Soviets have cited as "deeds" that and reaching accords on prohibition of anti-satillite weapons and chemical warfare.